

EXPERIMENTAL PAPER

Maidenhair tree (*Ginkgo bilobae*) leaf extraction products in the light of Biopharmaceutics Classification System (BCS) requirements and medium of diversified polarity (ϵ_M)

ELŻBIETA NOWAK¹, MICHAŁ JAKUB NACHAJSKI², MARIAN MIKOŁAJ ZGODA³

¹Europlant Group
Phytopharm Kłęka SA
Kłęka 1
63-040 Nowe Miasto n. Wartą, Poland

²Drug Formation Technology Unit
Department of Applied Pharmacy
Medical University of Lodz
Muszyńskiego 1
90-151 Łódź, Poland

³Division of PhD Study
Department of Applied Pharmacy
Medical University of Lodz
Muszyńskiego 1
90-151 Łódź, Poland

*corresponding author: e-mail: elzbieta.nowak@europlant-group.pl

S u m m a r y

Model maidenhair tree (*Ginkgo bilobae*) leaf extracts were created basing on medium of diversified polarity (ϵ_M). Chromatographic analysis was performed with the HPLC method, with the so-called dry residue remaining after evaporating the dissolving agent from saturated aqueous solutions and from 0.1 mol HCl. Viscosity measure and surface activity estimations were conducted on phase boundary. Then, basic values of viscosity ($[\eta]$, M_{η}) and hydrodynamic values (R_o , R_{obs} , Ω) were calculated. Moreover, reference quercetin and rutin (rutoside) were used to mark the conversion contents of flavonoids in produced extracts with the UV method.

Key words: *Ginkgo bilobae*, dry extract, polarity, viscosity

INTRODUCTION

The fact that amidon [1-3] incorporated the assumptions underlying Biopharmaceutics Classification System (BCS) into drug formation process indicated the necessity to consider the fundamental relation between real solubility characteristic for the maximal dosage of a medicinal product in model volume of the acceptor fluid and the mass exchange process observed on phase boundary.

Modern phytopharmacy uses properly prepared quantified plant extracts, as their granulometric, physical and chemical properties are decisive as far as quality and form of the preparation introduced into population therapy is concerned [4-8].

Qualitative and quantitative content within the quantified dry extract is associated with polarity of the extraction system, and the practical emanation of the abovementioned lies in dielectric constant (ϵ_M) characteristic for the dissolving agent or a mixture of the abovementioned [9].

Hence, the solubility of medicinal products (and/or their mixtures) included in pharmacopoeial plant material stands as derivative of compatibility of the required hydrophilic-lipophilic balance (HLB_R) observed in the phytocompound and polarity of the extraction medium (ϵ_M).

Basing on the technology underlying the production of solid oral drug form (tablet, dragée, capsule), suspension or cosmetic forms, when selecting excipients it is crucial to consider ballast substances present in extracts, as they decide on the pharmaceutical availability profile in model acceptor fluids [10].

This was the reason to conduct comparative studies on dry extracts obtained from maidenhair tree (*Ginkgo bilobae*) leaves. Extracts were obtained by etching the leaves with medium of diversified polarity, namely water ($\epsilon_{H_2O}=78.50$) and aqueous ethanol solutions: 45% ($\epsilon_M=55.0-49.0$) and 70% ($\epsilon_M=38.0-43.40$).

The study testing solubility of extracts in model acceptor fluids, mainly focused on the estimation of viscosity parameters, next to surface activity [11-13].

The abovementioned segment of the study was additionally expanded with HPLC chromatographic analysis of obtained dry extracts and the so-called "dry residue" after the exposure in water and 0.1 mol HCl (200 mOsmol/dm³) [14].

Furthermore, a spectrophotometric (UV) method was used to mark conversion contents of flavonoids in dry extracts, basing on reference quercetin and rutin (rutoside).

Obtained results constitute the basis to consider the relation between proposed BCS classification of extracts and their properties concerning application in drug form or cosmetic form technology.

MATERIAL AND METHODS

Extracts

1. Extracts: Extractum *Ginkgo bilobae* aq. siccum
Extractum *Ginkgo bilobae* spir. siccum – 45%(v/v)

Extractum *Ginkgo bilobae* spir. siccum – 70%(v/v)

Created with standard industrial technology in Phytopharm Kleka S.A.

From *Ginkgo bilobae* leaves of the following quality: Ph. Eur. 01/2008:1828

1. Reference extract:

Extractum *Ginkgo bilobae* spir. siccum – 80% (V/V)

24% maltodextrin participation: producer – China

Methods and equipment

Marking average molecular masses in model *Ginkgo bilobae* leaf extracts with HPLC method.

Marking the molecular mass layout in produced extracts lies in the division depending on hydrodynamic volume in each molecule. This method is practically relative (GPC method) due to calibration of the system and maintaining stable conditions of analysis. As far as calibration of the system is concerned, the research was based on polystyrene structural models characterized by linear structure and familiar physical and chemical parameters, such as an average number of molecular mass (M_{cz}).

Research equipment

Chromatographic set: L7100 pump (Merck Hitachi) with degasser (Knauer) and manual dosing valve (Knauer) with 20 μ l loop volume, used column PL gel mini-mix E3 μ m, 250x4,6 mm, refractometric detector (Varian) and „GRAMS-386 for Chromatography” computer programme (Galactics) to collect and process experimental data.

Flow of the eluent (THF – tetrahydrofuran) reached 0.3 cm³/min with temperature of the column equalling 30 \pm 0.1°C, and retention time deviation not exceeding 0.2%. In all chromatograms the retention time was corrected by changing the final time of analysis in order to obtain constant retention time of the system peak –22.350 \pm 0.001 min [14].

Concentration of solutions prepared for calibration reached 10-16 μ g PS (polystyrene)/ 10 cm³ of the eluent. Efficiency related with division of column marked for PS 9200 and PS 93 300 standards equalled 4.32.

The test was performed in ICSO “Blachownia” in Kędzierzyn Koźle.

Surface activity of aqueous extracts obtained from *Ginkgo bilobae* leaves

According to recommendations of the Polish Standard (PN/ISO), stalagmometric method was used to determine the number value of surface tension coefficient

$-\gamma^{25}$ which constituted the basis to estimate the critical micelle concentration (CMC) for examined extracts from the course of dependence $\gamma^{25} = f(c, \log c; g \times 100 \text{ cm}^{-3})$. Line equations with $p=0.05$ and $r^2 \geq 0.9975$ were used to describe dependence between $-\gamma^{25}$ surface tension coefficient and $\log c$ within the scope of small concentrations ($y_1 = a_1 \times \log c + b_1$) and large concentrations ($y_2 = a_2 \times \log c + b_2$). Both lines cross at the point where the scope of concentrations reach equality, and this point reflects critical micelle concentration (CMC), and they are calculated basing on the following equation

$$\log \text{cmc} = b_2 - b_1/a_1 - a_2$$

Simultaneously, in case of such a complex structure as aqueous plant extract solution, the number of CMC values (mol/dm^3) enabled to calculate

$$-\Delta G_M^0 = 2.303 RT \times \log \text{CMC},$$

namely the thermodynamic potential of micelle formation (ΔG_M^0).

Viscosity of extractum *Ginkgo bilobae* siccum aqueous solutions

LVN (limiting viscosity number, η) aqueous solutions of extracts of the so-called dry residue left after the evaporation of the saturated solution was determined according to the Polish Standard with Ubbelohde type viscosimeter [16].

It constituted the basis, analogically as in publications [17], to calculate selected viscosity values: M_η , R_o , R_{obs} , Ω .

Results obtained from conducted pre-formulation tests are presented in tables 3 and 4.

Marking conversion contents of flavonoids in extractum

Ginkgo bilobae siccum

Spectroscopic (UV) method was used to mark conversion contents of three flavonoids in the created so-called dry residue remaining after dissolving *Ginkgo bilobae* extracts in water and in 0.1 mol HCl, and then evaporating the saturated solutions to a solid mass.

Approximating equation describing the relation between concentration (c_w) and the measured absorbance value ($-A$) with $p=0.05$ and $r \geq 0.9997$ for quercetin (methanol solution – w in $\text{g}/100 \text{ cm}^3$):

1. $A = 3.8704 \times 10^{-2} + 744.9264 \times c$,

2. $\log A = 2.6121 + 0.9012 \times \log c$

and for rutin (rutoside, methanol solution – w in $\text{g}/100 \text{ cm}^3$)

1. $A = 0.1061 + 31.4565 \times c$,
2. $\log A = 0.7389 + 0.5621 \times \log c$

After conversion to the form $-c = A; (\log A) - a/b$ made calculation of the amount of flavonoids in each extract possible.

Obtained results are presented in tables 3 and 4.

DISCUSSION OF RESULTS

Determining the structure of flavonoid components observed in extracts from maidenhair tree (*Ginkgo bilobae*) leaves (fig. 1), next to diterpenes and sesquiterpenes (f. ex. bilobalide) constituted the basis to conduct HPLC analysis of the extracts obtained with the medium of diversified polarity (ϵ_M). The division covered the so-called dry residue that was obtained after dissolving created extracts in water ($mOsmol/dm^3=0$) and 0.1 mol HCl ($nOsmol/dm^3=200$), and then evaporating them in the temperature of 37°C from saturated dissolvent solution, finally reaching the remaining material in a form of a solid mass.




Figure 1.

Results of chromatographic analysis are presented in table 1.

Table 1.

Results of chromatographic analysis performed with the so-called HPLC method, the so-called dry residue obtained after evaporating the saturated solution to a dry mass; medium – model acceptor fluids according to Polish Pharmacopoeia VIII – 0.1 mol HCl and water

MODELS Type of extract	Mn	Mw	Mw/Mn	t.p.	M.p.	Mp for particular peaks	Percentage (%) share in the whole extract
1. Quercetin	261	266	1.02	18.22	271	271	100
2. Rutin (1)	789	1058	1.34	10.72	1308	1308	100
3. Rutin (2)	727	995	1.37	10.83	1201	1201	100
4. Rutin (3)	734	1001	1.36	10.82	1206	1206	100

MODELS Type of extract	Mn	Mw	Mw/Mn	t.p.	M.p.	Mp for particular peaks	Percentage (%) share in the whole extract
5. Extractum Ginkgo aq. siccum (H ₂ O)	1111	6350	5.72	12.09	540	23619	17.38
						2362	36.39
						1230	6.89
						856	11.77
						540	27.57
6. Extractum Ginkgo spir. siccum 45% (v/v)	1340	8061	6.01	8.15	27623	27623	18.87
						2626	43.28
						1295	5.97
						923	12.43
						552	19.45
7. Extractum Ginkgo spir. siccum 70% (v/v)	1175	6107	5.20	10.02	2389	25054	15.69
						2389	43.75
						1223	7.90
						859	15.07
						533	17.59
8. Extractum Ginkgo spir. siccum 80% (v/v):24% mixt on maltodextrin	1177	4073	3.46	10.03	2372	21897	7.39
						2372	49.81
						1154	12.97
						867	13.01
						646	16.82
9. Extractum Ginkgo aq. siccum (0.1 mol HCl)	1435	3544	2.47	9.69	3301	23192	3.37
						3301	84.03
						555	12.60
10. Extractum Ginkgo spir. siccum 45%(v/v) (0.1 mol HCl)	1415	5437	3.84	9.54	3851	21241	9.21
						3851	64.59
						955	11.75
						546	14.45
11. Extractum Ginkgo spir. siccum 70% (v/v) (0.1 mol HCl)	1698	5748	3.38	9.35	4675	20295	9.19
						4675	66.75
						966	12.99
						548	11.07
12. Extractum Ginkgo spir. siccum 80% (v/v):24% mixt on maltodextrin	1527	7009	4.59	9.54	4219	19605	14.92
						4219	63.99
						921	8.51
						548	12.58

Mn – molecular mass

Mw – the weight-average molecular mass

M.p – molecular mass calculation maximum peak

Taking into consideration the value of rutin (rutoside) molecular mass (tab. 1) as well as kaempferol glycoside (molecular mass = 743.74) and quercetin glycoside (molecular mass = 759.74) it is possible to indicate fractions in the analysed extracts with molecular mass similar to stated reference structures. Unexpectedly it turned out that the contents of the tested extracts includes – with considerable quantitative participation, biopolymer structures with molecular mass $\geq 20\ 000$.

Due to exposure of extracts in 0.1 mol HCl, their overall participation drops by about 50%. Quantitative participation of biopolymers with molecular mass $\geq 20\ 000$ still remains on the level ranging between 3 and 9%, which may pose significant influence on the manner of formulating the solid oral form of the drug.

Critical micelle concentration (CMC) was indicated, and – what is more – a number value concerning the lowering of the surface tension within the critical area $-\gamma_{\text{cmc}}^{25}$ enabled to calculate the micelle creating a potential for such a thermodynamic complex $-\Delta G_m^0$ and elevating lipophilic glycoside segment above phase boundary $-A_m$.

Calculated physical and chemical values ΔG_m^0 , A_m and HLB_{IHNMNR} [18] are presented in table 2.

As it can be seen from $-\gamma_{\text{cmc}}^{25}$, numerical values of the surface activity of di- and sesquiterpene contents in extracts does not lead to considerable lowering of surface tension coefficient in model acceptor fluids, and this is of noteworthy significance not only in marking the effective process relating pharmaceutical availability of phytochemicals, but also on reducing the adverse reactions observed on mucosa (phase boundary during the mass exchange process) after the disintegration of the tablet containing the extract in gastric juice.

In practice – basing on results obtained in *in vitro* conditions, it is possible to state that the so-called post-drug gastroesophageal reflux shall not occur.

Relatively low ΔG_m^0 (kJ/mol) content for water reaching 13.74-17.08 kJ/mol and in case of 0.1 mol HCl ranging between 13.84 and 16.27 kJ/mol indicate rather not too high (physiological) thermodynamic stability of micelle adduct with phytochemical. In the light of the above – this state indicates a relatively high bioavailability of the phytochemical.

As far as it can be seen from basic viscosity values presented in tables III and IV, lowered polarity within the extracting system is associated with considerable viscosity drop in average molecular mass – M_n and symmetrically hydrodynamic values (R_o , R_{abs} , Ω).

Calculated markings of flavonoids (reference substances: quercetin and rutin-rutoside) lead to a conclusion that 45% (v/v) water ethanol solution stands as an optimal system supporting obtaining flavonoids in the extract.

Nonetheless, after exposure of extracts in 0.1 mol HCl we can obtain – with smaller differentiation in basic viscosity values (tab. 4; M_n , R_o , R_{abs} , Ω), considerable growth in the marked conversion flavonoids content for Extractum *Ginkgo bilobae* aq. siccum and extractum *Ginkgo bilobae* spir. siccum – 70%(v/v).

Table 2.

Basic physical and chemical values characterising surface activity of extracts from *Ginkgo bilobae* and their structural HLB_{1HNMR} level

Extract – type	Test	Dry residue	%	cmc	cmc *	DG _m ⁰	g ²⁵	Am*10 ⁻¹⁸	HLB
	portion of the medium		of soluble contents						
H ₂ O									
1. Extractum Ginkgo aq. siccum	2.1702	2.1510	99.12	0.2746	1.0162*10 ⁻³	-17.0870	71.39	6.9762	19.17
2. Extractum Ginkgo spir. siccum 45% (v/v)	3.3726	3.2525	96.44	0.6025	3.9082*10 ⁻³	-13.7474	71.01	4.2432	19.13
3. Extractum Ginkgo spir. siccum 70% (v/v)	1.6700	1.5600	93.41	0.2020	1.8109*10 ⁻³	-15.6747	71.33	6.3322	18.80
4. Extractum Ginkgo spir. siccum 80% (v/v);24% mixt on maltodextrin	2.7006	1.3525	50.08	0.3012	2.4680*10 ⁻³	-14.8871	61.91	0.4087	-
0.1 mol HCl									
5. Extractum Ginkgo aq. siccum	2.1655	2.1374	98.70	0.4005	1.7623*10 ⁻³	-15.7221	71.47	7.2210	18.47
6. Extractum Ginkgo spir. siccum 45% (v/v)	2.1330	2.0546	96.32	0.2884	1.4109*10 ⁻³	-16.2735	70.62	3.0264	18.73
7. Extractum Ginkgo spir. siccum 70% (v/v)	3.1628	2.9970	94.75	0.2892	1.9471*10 ⁻³	-15.4749	71.39	6.9762	18.77
8. Extractum Ginkgo spir. siccum 80% (v/v);24% mixt on maltodextrin	3.4449	1.6970	49.26	0.3050	3.7568*10 ⁻³	-13.8454	63.50	0.4853	-

Cmc – critical micelle concentration

DG_m⁰ – thermodynamic potential for micelle formation

g²⁵ – surface tension calculation in the cmc area

Am – the surface occupied by the lipophilic structure at the phase boundary

HLB – hydrophilic-lipophilic balance

Table 3.

Basic viscosity values of Extr. *Ginkgo bilobae* siccum aqueous solutions (saturated solutions)

Type of extract	Medium C _{exp} G*100cm ³	GLL, [h]	M _h	R _o *10 ⁻⁷ cm	R _{obs} *10 ⁻⁸ cm	Ω * 10 ⁻²⁰ cm ³	c _{k/} [*] g/100cm ³	c _{R/} ^{**} g/100cm ³
1. Extractum Ginkgo aq. siccum	1.1003	0.106350	2702.01	4.3743	3.5715	1.9084	4.1520*10 ⁻²	0.7381
2. Extractum Ginkgo spir. siccum 45%(V/V)	1.2694	0.075776	1541.61	3.2404	2.6457	0.7781	7.4275*10 ⁻²	1.5234
3. Extractum Ginkgo spir. siccum 70%(V/V)	1.2279	0.063104	1115.45	2.7369	2.2346	0.4674	4.8098*10 ⁻²	0.9313
4. Extractum Ginkgo spir. siccum 80%(V/V);24% mixt on maltodextrin	1.3525	0.065804	1220.42	2.8599	2.3351	0.5333	14.9987*10 ⁻²	3.3566

Table 4.

Basic viscosity values of Extr. *Ginkgo bilobae* siccum solutions in 0.1 mol HCl (saturated solutions)

Type of extract	Medium C_{exp} $G \cdot 100 \text{cm}^3$	GLL, [h]	M_h	$R_o \cdot 10^{-7}$ cm	$R_{obs} \cdot 10^{-8}$ cm	$\Omega \cdot 10^2$ cm^3	$c_{k/}^*$ g/100cm ³	$c_{R/}^{**}$ g/100cm ³
1. Extractum <i>Ginkgo</i> aq. Siccum	1.3415	0.095791	2272.47	3.9876	3.2557	1.4456	$6.5683 \cdot 10^{-2}$	1.2859
2. Extractum <i>Ginkgo</i> spir. siccum 45% (v/v)	1.2563	0.089852	2043.98	3.7679	3.0764	1.2196	$5.5347 \cdot 10^{-2}$	1.0769
3. Extractum <i>Ginkgo</i> spir. siccum 70% (v/v)	1.7054	0.074091	1485.24	3.1765	2.5036	0.7308	$6.4072 \cdot 10^{-2}$	1.3081
4. Extractum <i>Ginkgo</i> spir. siccum 80% (v/v):24% mixt on maltodextrin	1.6970	0.055901	931.63	2.4754	2.0211	0.3458	$15.7639 \cdot 10^{-2}$	3.5186

 $c_{k/}^*$ – marking flavonoids per quercetin $c_{R/}^{**}$ – marking flavonoids per rutoside

GLL, [h] – the limiting viscosity number

 M_h – viscosity average Ω – effective volume R_o, R_{obs} – hydrodynamic parameter of the micelle

CONCLUSIONS

1. Performed tests reveal that created Extractum *Ginkgo bilobae* aq. siccum, spir. siccum 45% (v/v) and spir. siccum 70% (v/v) are characterized by high content of high molecular weight biopolymers, which maintain their structural stability in 0.1 mol HCl (model acceptor fluid, PP VIII).
2. Indicated basic viscosity values indicate that decrease in the polarity of the extracting system is reflected by considerable viscosity differentiation in average molecular mass – M_h and basic hydrodynamic values (R_o, R_{obs}, Ω). Observed regularity was confirmed with viscosity measures in 0.1 mol HCl.
3. It turned out – unexpectedly, that we do not observe a significant participation of structures extracts from *Ginkgo bilobae* leaves, which would decrease the surface tension on phase boundary in a natural manner. Calculated thermodynamic micelle creating potential – DG_m^0 indicates a physiologically satisfying endurance of complex micelle, which is a carrier for the phyto compound.
4. Despite conducting symmetrical comparative studies with Extractum *Ginkgo bilobae* spir. siccum – 80%(V/V); 24% mixt on maltodextrin (producer: China), yet the lack of significant data related with its creation does not provide reliable grounds to perceive it as a reference system.

REFERENCES

1. Amidon GL, Lennemas H, Shah VP, Crion JR. A theoretical basis for a biopharmaceutical drug classification: The correlation of in vitro drug product and in vivo bioavailability. Pharm Res 1995; 12:413-420.
2. Lindenbergh M, Kopp S, Dressman JB. Classification of orally administered drugs on the World Health

- Organization Model list of Essential Medicines according to the biopharmaceutics classification system. Eur J Pharm Biopharm 2004; 58:265-278.
3. Yu LX, Amidon GL, Polli JE, Zhao H, Mehta MU, Conner DP, Shah VP, Lesko LJ, Chen ML, Lee VH, Hussain AS. Biopharmaceutics classification system: the scientific basis for bio waiver extensions. Pharm Res 2002; 19:921-925.
 4. Dahanukar SA, Kulkarni RA, Rege NN. Pharmacology of medicinal plants and natural products. Indian J Pharmacology, 2000, 32, S81-S118
 5. Kang SS, et.al. Anticancer agents of bioflavonoids from *Ginkgo bilobae* and *Lonicera japonica*. Rep. Korea KR9, 609, 183 (Cl. A61K31/35)wg. Chem. Abstr. 2000
 6. Qadan F, Manasoor K, Al-Adham I, Schmidt M, Nahrstedt A. Proanthocyanidins from *Ginkgo bilobae* leaf extract and their radical scavenging activity. Pharmaceutical Biology 2011; 49(5):471-476.
 7. Ding S, Dudley E, Plummer S, Tang J, Newton RP, Brenton AG. Fingerprint profile of *Ginkgo bilobae* nutritional supplements by LC/ESI-MS/MS. Phytochemistry 2008; 69(7):1555-1564.
 8. Tang Y. Coumaroyl flavonol glycosides from the leaves of *Ginkgo bilobae*. Phytochemistry 2001; 58(8):1251-1256.
 9. Kołodziejczyk MK, Zgoda MM. Suche mianowane ekstrakty roślinne. Nośniki środków leczniczych w świetle BCS. Przemysł Farmaceutyczny 2012; 5:58-62.
 10. Suter A, Niemer W, Klopp R. A new *Ginkgo* fresh plant extract increases microcirculation and radical scavenging activity in elderly patients., AdvTher 2011; 28(12):1078-1088.
 11. Chebil L, Humeau C, Anthoni J, Dehez F, Engasser JM, Choul M. Solubility of flavonoids in organic solvents. J Chem Eng Data 2007; 52:1552-1556.
 12. Zgoda MM. Solubilizacja hydrotropowa i miceralna trudno rozpuszczalnych w wodzie środków leczniczych. Farm Pol 2007; 64(4): 135-143.
 13. Carrier DJ, Van Beck T, Van Der Heijden R, Verpoorte R. Distribution of ginkgolides and terpenoids biosynthetic activity in *Ginkgo bilobae*. Phytochemistry 1998; 48(1): 89-92.
 14. Piotrowska JB, Nachajski MJ, Lukosek M, Kosno J, Zgoda MM. Związki powierzchniowo czynne z grupy polioksyetylenowych estrów kwasów tłuszczowych z gliceryną. Cz. II. Polimery w Medycynie 2011; 41(1):53-66.
 15. Polska Norma PN-90/C-04909 (erg ISO 304 i 6889). Środki powierzchniowo czynne. Oznaczanie napięcia powierzchniowego (g) i napięcia międzyfazowego (g). Dz. Norm i Miar, Nr 2/1991, poz.4.
 16. Polska Norma PN-93/C-89430 (idt. ISO 1628/1:1984) Tworzywo sztuczne. Zasady normalizacji metod oznaczania liczby lepkościowej i granicznej liczby lepkościowej polimerów w roztworach rozcieńczonych. Ogólne warunki. Dz. Norm i Miar, Nr 3/1993, poz.5.
 17. Nachajski MJ, Piotrowska JB, Kołodziejczyk MK, Lukosek M, Zgoda MM. Surface-active agents from the group of polyoxyethylated glycerol esters of fatty acids. Part III. Acta Polon Pharm – Drug Research 2013; 70(3):547-555.
 18. Piotrowska JB, Nachajski MJ, Lukosek M, Zgoda MM. Surface-active agents from the group of polyoxyethylated glycerol esters of fatty acids. Part I. Polimery w Medycynie 2010; 40(3):27-36.

PRODUKTY EKSTRAKCYJNE LIŚCI Z MIŁORZĘBU JAPONSKIEGO (*GINKGO BILOBAE*) W ŚWIETLE WYMAGAŃ BIOFARMACEUTYCZNEGO SYSTEMU KLASYFIKACJI (BCS) I MEDIUM O ZRÓŻNICOWANEJ POLARNOŚCI (ϵ_M)

ELŻBIETA NOWAK¹, MICHAŁ JAKUB NACHAJSKI², MARIAN MIKOŁAJ ZGODA³

¹Europlant Group
Phytopharm Kłęka SA

Kłęka 1
63-040 Nowe Miasto n. Wartą

²Zakład Technologii Postaci Leku
Katedra Farmacji Stosowanej
Uniwersytet Medyczny w Łodzi
ul. Muszyńskiego 1
90-151 Łódź

³Niestacjonarne Studium Doktoranckie
Katedra Farmacji Stosowanej
Uniwersytet Medyczny w Łodzi
ul. Muszyńskiego 1
90-151 Łódź

*autor, do którego należy kierować korespondencję: elzbieta.nowak@europlant-group.pl

Streszczenie

Wytworzono modelowe ekstrakty z liści miłorzębu japońskiego (*Ginkgo bilobae*), korzystając z medium o zróżnicowanej polarności (ϵ_M). Przeprowadzono analizę chromatograficzną metodą HPLC tzw. suchej pozostałości uzyskanej po odparowaniu rozpuszczalnika z nasyconych wodnych roztworów i z 0,1 mol HCl. Przeprowadzono pomiary lepkościowe i aktywności powierzchniowej na granicy faz. Wyliczono podstawowe wielkości lepkościowe ($[\eta]$, $M\eta$) i hydrodynamiczne (R_0 , $R_{obs.}$, Ω). Korzystając z referencyjnej kwercetyny i rutyny (rutozydu) przeliczeniową metodą UV oznaczono również zawartość flawonoidów w wytworzonych ekstraktach.

Słowa kluczowe: *Ginkgo bilobae*, suchy ekstrakt, lepkość, polarność